High-energy threshold reaction rates on 0.8 GeV proton-irradiated thick Pb-target
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Abstract. This work presents results of activation-aided determination of threshold reaction rates in 92209Bi, 208Pb, 197Au, 169Tm, 64Zn, 65Cu, 63Cu, 60Co, 37F, and 13C samples and in 12172Al samples. All the samples are aligned with the proton beam axis inside and outside the demountable 92-cm thick Pb target of 15-cm diameter assembled of 23 4-cm thick discs. The samples are placed on 12 target disks to reproduce the long axis distribution of protons and neutrons. In June 2006, the target was exposed for 18 hours to a 800-MeV proton beam extracted from the ITEP U-10 accelerator. The proton fluence and the proton beam shape were determined using the 27Al(p,x)7Be monitor reaction. The total number of protons onto the target was (6.0 ± 0.5) × 1025. The reaction rates were determined by the direct gamma-spectrometry techniques. The GC-2518 detectors of 1.8 keV resolution and the DGDK-60V detectors of 2.9 keV resolution at the 1332 keV gamma-line were used to take the measurements. In total, 1196 gamma-spectra have been measured, and about 1500 reaction rates determined. The measured reaction rates were simulated by the MCNPX code using the following databases: ENDF/B6 for neutrons below 20 MeV, MENDL2 for 20-100 MeV neutrons, and MENDL2P for proton cross sections up to 200 MeV. An acceptable agreement of simulations with experimental data has been found.

1 Introduction

Many countries all over the world are designing nuclear facilities of new generation where fissile is initiated by neutrons produced in interactions of a high current of ~1 GeV proton beam with a heavy target. Spallation Neutron Sources (SNS) and Accelerator Driven Systems (ADS) are examples of this class of facilities. The targets are proposed to be Hg, Pb + Bi, Pb eutectic (liquid targets) or W, Ta (solid targets).

The design of such new generation facilities require new generation of reliable nuclear data. One of the possible fields of data application is activation-based unfolding of high-energy (up to ~1 GeV) neutron spectra inside an ADS target and the near-target zone. The high-energy “tail” in the ADS spectra triggers high-energy-threshold (>10 MeV) reactions, which are not studied in detail in conventional reactor studies. Therefore, excitation functions (EFs) that may be derived from high-energy calculations, or retrieved from available databases require a reliable verification via testing experiments with high-energy proton-irradiated target micromodels.

Our previous work [1] has shown the possibility to build-up such EFs by measuring activation reaction rates (RRs) in samples located on an extended W-Na target induced by 0.8 GeV protons. The objective of the present work is to verify and improve, when needed, the EF’s obtained in [1] and to get new EF’s based on the latest experiment made under the ISTC Project #2405 [2] using the lead target and induced by 0.8 GeV protons.

2 Experimental facility

The Pb target was exposed to the proton beam extracted from the ITEP U-10 synchrotron, which is a ring facility with a 25 MeV energy of proton injection to a ring with the highest proton acceleration energy of 9.3 GeV. Having been accelerated up to a given energy (0.8 GeV in the present experiment), the proton beam consisting of 4 bunches of 250 ns duration each was directed to a transport channel that provided proton extraction with the following parameters: a ~ 2 × 1014 proton/pulse intensity, an ellipsoidal section with ~ 10 × 15 mm axes, and a ~ 15 pulse/min pulse repetition rate. The Pb target was irradiated for a time $T = 64800$ s.

The target was composed of 23 metal discs of 150 mm diameter and 40 mm depth each. The total target length was 920 mm to provide proton beam stoppage. The discs were prepared by casting lead into a graphite lingot with subsequent machining. The task-oriented “rulers” inherent to the disc design were used to irradiate the samples inside the discs. The absolute reaction rates were determined experimentally on 206Bi, 208Pb, 197Au, 169Tm, 64Zn, 65Cu, 60Co, 37F, and 13C. Figure 1 shows an overall view of the target with the samples arranged therein. The samples were placed in either the middle of the side faces of odd discs (in Cd jackets) or task-oriented lead rulers (without Cd jackets) located on the front edges of odd discs.

Prior to irradiation, the target was mounted on a task-oriented table consisting of a cradle and a massive support with micrometering set screws to provide precise adjustment of the cradle with respect to the beam axis.
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3 Proton beam monitoring and normalization

The number of protons on the target and the proton shape were determined using an Al monitor of 170-mm diameter placed at 5 cm before the first disc to intercept the proton beam. Having irradiated, the monitor was cut into its fragments, most of which were 2 × 2 cm squares. In each of the fragments, the numbers of the 7Be, 22Na, and 24Na nuclei produced were determined by the gamma-spectrometry techniques. Since the number of 7Be nuclei produced in each of the fragments is proportional to the number of protons through a fragment, the respective results obtained using the 27Al(p,x)7Be reaction data have made it possible to determine the number of protons through each fragment and, after that, reproduce the proton beam shape.

Table 1 presents the results of determining the proton beam parameters, namely, the number (N) of particles that hit the target throughout the irradiation time, the positions of Gaussian centers (x₀, y₀), their standard deviations (σₓ, σᵧ), and the power calculated as W = N · E/T, where E is the proton beam energy; N is the total number of protons that hit the target, and T is the total target irradiation time.

4 Experimental results

The absolute rates of the independent and cumulative threshold reactions in different experimental samples were determined by the gamma-spectrometry techniques using the expressions [3]

\[ R_{1}^{\text{cum}} = \frac{A_{1}}{N_{T} \cdot \eta_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{T}} \cdot \frac{1}{F_{1}} \]

\[ R_{2}^{\text{cum}} = R_{2}^{\text{ind}} + \varepsilon_{1} \cdot R_{1}^{\text{cum}} = \left( \frac{A_{2}}{F_{2}} + \frac{A_{1}}{F_{1}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{N_{T} \cdot \eta_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{T}} \]

Table 2. List of the reaction rates measured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Number of reaction rates/samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>209Bi</td>
<td>209Bi, 209Bi, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb, 209Pb</td>
<td>327/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197Au</td>
<td>197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au, 197Au</td>
<td>240/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181Ta</td>
<td>181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta, 181Ta</td>
<td>157/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169Tm</td>
<td>169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm, 169Tm</td>
<td>26/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121C1</td>
<td>121C1</td>
<td>3/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R_{2}^{\text{ind}} = \left( \frac{A_{2}}{F_{2}} + \frac{A_{1}}{F_{1}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{N_{T} \cdot \eta_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{T}} \]

\[ R_{2}^{\text{cum}} = R_{2}^{\text{ind}} + \varepsilon_{1} \cdot R_{1}^{\text{cum}} = \left( \frac{A_{1}}{F_{1}} + \frac{A_{2}}{F_{2}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{N_{T} \cdot \eta_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{T}} \]
where \( A_0, A_1, \) and \( A_2 \) are factors determined by least-squares fitting the experimental count rates of the parent (1) and daughter (2) nuclides; \( N_I \) is the number of nuclei in an irradiated experimental sample; \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are quantum abundances of the nuclides at energies \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \); \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are decay constants; \( \epsilon_1 \) and \( \epsilon_2 \) are absolute efficiencies of spectrometer at \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \); \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are nuclide saturation functions. The data to be used to identify the nuclides produced have been adopted from the PCNUDAT database [4]. Table 2 lists the measured reaction rates.

Figures 2–5 show some of the simulated experimental reaction rates. All the measured reaction rates will be presented in the report on ISTC #2405 Project and in the SINBAD nuclear database (OECD Nuclear Energy Agency Data Bank).

### 5 Computational simulation of experimental reaction rates

The measured RRs are integral multiplication of the respective reactions cross sections and particle spectra in the measuring places

\[
R_x = R_{n,x} + R_{p,x} = \sum_{i=n,p} \int \sigma_{n,i}(E) \cdot \varphi_i(E) dE.
\]

The spectra of particles (protons and neutrons) were simulated with the MCNPX code [5]. The cross sections were obtained as shown in table 3 using the MENDL [6] (MENDL2p [7] for protons) databases and the LAHET code [8].

The input cross section data were analyzed with the view of their internal consistency and accord with the experimental data available from the EXFOR database. Our analysis of the RRs calculated from the input cross sections shows that some of the input cross sections should be corrected. The analysis and correction procedures are described in detail in [9], where the corrections are shown to permit satisfactory agreements with the experimental RRs.

The results of computational simulating the reaction rates are shown in figures 2–5 together with experimental data. The figures show satisfactory agreement between simulated and experimental values for most of the reaction rates. However, there are a number of systematic deviations of simulations from the data, namely,

- the reaction rates simulated for the axis points on first disks are underestimated by factors of 1.5 – 3.0 as compared with experimental data, because the real lateral distribution of the proton beam may differ from the Gaussian, used in simulations (see [9]);

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( E (\text{MeV}) )</th>
<th>Neutrons</th>
<th>Protons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(&lt;100 )</td>
<td>MENDL2</td>
<td>MENDL2p* + EXFOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;100 )</td>
<td>LAHET</td>
<td>LAHET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - up to 200 MeV.
Fig. 4. Experimental and calculated distributions of the $^{197}$Au reaction products along the surface of the Pb target.

- as a rule, the reactions that show a high production threshold (~100 MeV and higher) are underestimated by a factor of up to 3 and even higher at the surface of the first disk. This can be related to high energy proton presence on the surface of the first disk, i.e., the proton beam lateral distribution is much broader than it is in case of a Gaussian shape.

Other calculations-experiment differences are not systematic and can be explained by the fact that the cross section database used here are insufficiently accurate.

6 Conclusion

The results presented indicate that, with rare exclusions, the EFs obtained in [1] provide good agreement with new measured RRs. Further studies will be aimed at comparison of simulations with full amount of reaction rates measured. A special attention will be paid to simulate properly the proton beam shape.
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